EXHIBIT L

JOSEPH C. RAZZANO, ESQ.		
TEKER TORRES & TEKER, P.C. SUITE 2A, 130 ASPINALL AVENUE		
HAGÅTÑA, GUAM 96910 TELEPHONE: (671) 477-9891/4		
FACSIMILE: (671) 477-369174		
JOHN S. UNPINGCO, ESQ.		
UNPINGCO & ASSOCIATES, LLC SUITE 12B, SINAJANA MALL		
SINAJANA, GUAM		
TELEPHONE: (671) 475-8545 FACSIMILE: (671) 475-8550		
MICHAEL W. SHORE		
ALFONSO GARCIA CHAN MARTIN PASCUAL		
SHORE CHAN BRAGALONE, LLP		
325 NORTH SAINT PAUL STREET, SUITE 44 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201	450	
TELEPHONE: (214) 593-9110 FACSIMILE: (214) 593-9111		
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nanya Technology Corp. and		
Nanya Technology Corp. U.S.A.		
UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRIC	CT OF GUAM	
NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORP. and NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORP. U.S.A.,	Case No. CV-06-00025	
Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFF NANYA TECHNOLOGY	
v.	CORPORATION U.S.A.'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO FUJITSU	
	MICROELECTRONICS AMERICA,	
FUJITSU LIMITED and FUJITSU MICROELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,	INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS (NOS. 1-34)	
Defendants.		
PLAINTIFF NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATIO		

AMERICA, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS (NOS. 1-34)

PAGE 1

PLAINTIFF NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION U.S.A.'S
OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO FUJITSU MICROELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS (NOS. 1-34)

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Civil Rules of this Court, Plaintiff Nanya Technology Corp. U.S.A. ("NTC USA") hereby serves the following Responses and Objections to admissions upon Defendant Fujitsu Microelectronics America, Inc. ("FMA"). NTC USA hereby reserves the right to supplement all responses to these admissions in accordance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(e).

OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. NTC USA objects to the definitions of "Nanya USA," "you" and "your" to the extent that they encompass "all predecessor or successor companies or corporations" that are not currently part of NTC USA, to the extent they encompass "present ... investigators, accountants, consultants, attorneys, other representatives, or any other persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of any of them" who are not part of NTC USA, to the extent they encompass "consultants" otherwise protected by the consulting expert privilege, to the extent they encompass "attorneys" otherwise protected by the attorney client and/or work product privileges, and to the extent they encompass "former offices, directors, employees, agents, investigators, accountants, consultants, attorneys, other representatives, or any other persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of any of them" that are not currently part of NTC USA.
- 2. NTC USA objects to the definition of "document" to the extent it purports to cover subject matter in excess of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34.
- 3. NTC USA objects to the definition of "electronically stored information" to the extent it purports to cover subject matter in excess of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34.
- 4. NTC USA objects to the definition of "identify" to the extent it calls for a response in excess of the minimum requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 36.
- 5. NTC USA objects to the Instructions generally to the extent they call for a response in excess of the minimum requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 36.

- 6. NTC USA objects to Instruction No. 7 to the extent it recites privilege log requirements in excess of the requirements called for by this Court, Ninth Circuit precedent, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 7. NTC USA objects to Footnote No. 1 and Defendants' attempted disclaimer that they are not substantively participating in the present lawsuit in Guam.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FMA'S ADMISSIONS

Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA further objects and responds as follows:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit that Nanya USA does not maintain any offices in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 1 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 1 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 1 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that Nanya USA does not maintain any operations in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 2 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation

1314

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 2 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 2 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have any employees in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 3 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 3 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 3 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have any affiliates in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 4 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 4 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and

8

10 11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 4 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have any salespersons in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 5 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 5 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 5 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that Nanya USA has not advertised any products in the Guam local newspapers or Guam local magazines in the six years before the Complaint was filed in this action.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 6 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 6 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the

3

5

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 6 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that Nanya USA has not advertised any services in the Guam local newspapers or Guam local magazines in the six years before the Complaint was filed in this action.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 7 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 7 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 7 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have real property in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 8 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 8 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 8 seasonably after FMA serves

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that Nanya USA does not lease any facility in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 9 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 9 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 9 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have a bank account in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 10 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 10 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 10 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that Nanya USA does not maintain a telephone number in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 11 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 11 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 11 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that Nanya USA does not maintain a telefax number in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 12 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 12 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 12 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have a telex number in Guam.

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26 27

28

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 13 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 13 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 13 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have any directors in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 14 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 14 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 14 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that Nanya USA does not have any officers in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 15 as premature. FMA has not served pre-

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

discovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 15 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 15 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that Nanya USA does not maintain a post office box in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 16 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 16 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 16 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that Nanya USA does not maintain a street address in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 17 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation

11

12

14

15

13

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 17 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 17 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA has never been party to a lawsuit or legal proceeding in any Guam Federal District Court.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 18 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 18 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 18 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file *Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited*, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA did not have in Guam any documents relevant to the subject matter of this lawsuit.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 19 as premature. FMA has not served pre-

discovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation

whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 19 as seeking

irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and

convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the

relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 19 seasonably after FMA

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file *Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited*, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had not appointed an agent in Guam for service of process.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 20 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 20 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 20 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file *Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited*, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had not negotiated any agreements in Guam concerning the subject matter of this action.

27 28

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 21 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 21 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 21 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had not executed any agreements in Guam concerning the subject matter of this action.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 22 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 22 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 22 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited, No.

7 8

9

11

12

13 14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had not executed any agreements that called for Nanya's performance in Guam concerning the subject matter of this action.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 23 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 23 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 23 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file *Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited*, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had no correspondence with Fujitsu in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 24 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 24 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 24 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

13 14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22 23

24

25

2627

28

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file *Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited*, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had no correspondence with FMA in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 25 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 25 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 25 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file *Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited*, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had no correspondence with Fujitsu relating to any business in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 26 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 26 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 26 seasonably after FMA

10 11

12 13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

28

serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Admit that prior to engaging Guam counsel to file *Nanya Tech. Corp. v. Fujitsu Limited*, No. 06-CV-00025 in the District of Guam, Nanya USA had no correspondence with FMA relating to any business in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 27 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 27 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 27 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Admit that Nanya USA does not file a tax return in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 28 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 28 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 28 seasonably after FMA

3

4

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Admit that Nanya USA does not distribute any products concerning the subject matter of this action in Guam.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 29 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 29 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 29 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Admit that Nanya USA Accused Products are offered for sale in the Northern District of California.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 30 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 30 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 30 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Admit that Nanya USA Accused Products are sold in the Northern District of California.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 31 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 31 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 31 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Admit that Nanya USA Accused Products are imported into the Northern District of California.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 32 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 32 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 32 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

2728

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Admit that Nanya USA obtains revenue from Nanya Accused Products sold within the Northern District of California.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 33 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 33 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 33 seasonably after FMA serves its Local Rule 26.2 pre-discovery disclosures.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Admit that the United States District Court for the Northern District of California has personal jurisdiction over Nanya USA.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

NTC USA objects to Request for Admission No. 34 as premature. FMA has not served prediscovery disclosures in this case as required by Local Rule 26.2 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). Because no pre-discovery disclosures have been made, NTC USA is under no obligation whatsoever to respond. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 34 as seeking irrelevant to any claim or defense at issue in this case, including but not limited to jurisdiction and convenience. NTC USA further objects to Request for Admission No. 34 as not seeking facts, but a legal conclusion for a court to decide. Subject to the foregoing objections, NTC USA will supplement and respond to the relevant subject matter, if any, called for by Request for Admission No. 24

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO FUJITSU MICROELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS (NOS. 1-34)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on the

following counsel of record via electronic mail and confirmatory first class mail on April 23, 2007:

2

1

3

45

6

Rodney J. Jacob

Daniel M. Benjamin

Calvo & Clark, LLP

Tamuning, Guam 96913

655 South Marine Corps Dr., Suite 202

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Michael M. Murray Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza New York, New York 10005-1413

/s/ Alfonso Garcia Chan ALFONSO GARCIA CHAN

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS Nanya Technology Corp. and Nanya Technology Corp. U.S.A.

PLAINTIFF NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION U.S.A.'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO FUJITSU MICROELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS (NOS. 1-34)

PAGE 21

EXHIBIT M

- 2. Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order (Docket No. 123), and Defendants' opposition to that motion and proposal of an alternative protective order (Docket No. 138), will shortly be mooted by the parties' joint submission of a mutually agreed protective order.
- 3. Without waiving any specific objections, Defendants have agreed to produce documents responsive to the jurisdictional discovery requests Plaintiffs have served. Fujitsu Microelectronics America, Inc. ("FMA") shall produce its responsive documents by April 2, 2007. Fujitsu Limited shall produce its responsive documents by April 16, 2007.
- 4. FMA shall produce Michael Moore at a mutually convenient time and place for a deposition with regard to his previously filed declaration within two weeks of April 2, 2007. Fujitsu Limited shall produce Shigeru Kitano at a mutually convenient time and place for a deposition with regard to his previously filed declaration within two weeks of April 16, 2007.
- 5. With regard to currently pending merits discovery and future merits discovery, the parties have agreed that requests may be served, but that no responses shall be due until thirty days after the hearing on Defendants' pending motions to dismiss or transfer. (Docket Nos. 74 and 89):
- 6. So as to permit time for Defendants to make their production of documents in response to Plaintiffs' jurisdictional requests, and for Plaintiffs to review such documents, it is respectfully requested that the hearing on Defendants' motions to dismiss or transfer be rescheduled from March 22, 2007 to June 22, 2007, or such other later date as is convenient for the Court. Because of the briefing time required to address these motions, the parties by this joint stipulation respectfully request the following briefing schedule: (1) Plaintiffs' oppositions shall be due on or before May 15, 2007; (2) Defendants' replies shall be due on or before May 31, 2007; (3) Any sur-reply by Plaintiffs (strictly limited to five total pages) shall be due on or before June 7, 2007; (4) Any sur-reply by Defendants (strictly limited to five total pages) shall be due on or before June 14, 2007. If the parties determine in good faith that production will take longer than anticipated, the parties shall not unreasonably withhold their consent to extending the hearing and briefing dates to permit time for document production and review.

EXHIBIT N

1 2 DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM 3 MAR - 5 2007 NAC MARY L.M. MORAN 4 **CLERK OF COURT** 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF GUAM 8 CIVIL CASE NO. 06-CV-00025 NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORP. and 9 NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORP. U.S.A., Plaintiffs, 10 EDI DISCOVERY PLAN VS. 11 FUJITSU LIMITED, FUJITSU 12 MICROELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Pursuant to Rules 16 and 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Local Rule 16.1 for the District Court of Guam, the parties hereby propose the following Discovery 16 See Page 2 * 17 Plan. Defendants propose that the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and 18 1. L.R. 26.2 shall be made, if necessary, thirty (30) days after the Court's ruling on Fujitsu's Motion 19 to Dismiss or Transfer and FMA's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer ("Motions"), set for hearing on 20 21 March 22, 2007. Plaintiffs propose that the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and 22 L.R. 26.2 shall be made thirty (30) days after the entry of the Scheduling Order. 23 In the event the Motions are denied, the parties anticipate complex and lengthy 2. 24 discovery due to the numerous patents at issue and the complexity of the issues. Discovery will 25 be by document request, request for admission, interrogatories, and depositions. Third party ORIGINAL

CIVIL CASE NO. 06-CV-00025

discovery has already been filed by Nanya and additional third party discovery is possible. 1 Extensive expert discovery is also anticipated. All or almost all depositions will need to be held 2 in foreign countries and California. 3 Due to the complexity of the case, the parties anticipate that they may need to seek 3. 4 modification of the limitations on discovery provided under the Rules or additional limitations on 5 6 discovery, and will apply for appropriate relief as needed. 7 Due to the complexity of the case and need for extensive discovery in other 4. countries and in the Fifty States, discovery is anticipated to take in excess of one year. They 8 9 propose that all discovery will be completed before: February 1, 2008. The parties are not aware at this time of any orders that should be entered by the Court under Rule 10 11 26(c) or under Rule 16(b) and (c). Plaintiffs served FMA with a first request for production of documents on December 14, 2006. In addition, on January 31, 2007, Plaintiffs provided 12 13 Defendants with copies of sixteen sets of voluminous merits-based discovery requests, and the 14 parties are currently negotiating response dates. On February 9, 2007, Plaintiffs served sets of 15 discovery requests on Fujitsu and FMA. Nanya has served certain third party subpoenas. Nanya has requested the depositions of Mr. Shigeru Kitano of Fujitsu and Mr. Michael Moore of FMA. 16 17 // 18 // 19 // Defendants' disclosures required by Fed.R.Civ.P.26(a)(1) and L.R. 20 26.2 shall be made sixty (60) days after the entry of the Scheduling 21 $/\!/$ Order. 22 23 // 24 25

Case 4:07-1:08-36760625 Becument 17490 File 6168/03/2767007 Page 296 69 of 30

1	FMA has agreed to produce Mr. Moore in California on February 27, 2007. The parties are
2	trying to work out a date and location for Mr. Kitano's deposition.
3	SO ORDERED this <u>2nd</u> day of <u>Marel</u> , 2007.
4	Jan 18 Mounty
5	Joaqu'in V.E. Manibusan, Jt. U.S. Magistrate Judge, District Court of Gua
6	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
7	CALVO & CLARK, LLP Attorneys for Defendants
8 9	Date: 16/07 By: 1800
10	DANIEL M. BĚNJAMIN
11	TEKER, TORRES & TEKER, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12	Dated: 2/16/07 By:
13	Dated: U/U/U/ SOSEVH C. RAZZANO
14	
15	2
16	
17	
18	Decemen
19	RECEIVED
20 21	FEB 1 6 2007 DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM
21	HAGATNA, GUAM
23	
24	
25	